

#### Registration Systems, Inc.

CMA Monitoring Agency 8002 West Ave, Suite 4 San Antonio, TX 78213 (210) 341-2680

#### RSI BULLETIN #R-028

FROM:

Daniel C. Ludwig

DATE:

August 3, 1995

SUBJECT: Test Laboratory Conformance Statements

COMMENT: This bulletin provides updated examples of the Test Laboratory Conformance Statement. There are NO CHANGES to the test laboratory conformance statement or the processes to complete conformance statements.

RSI Bulletin #92-009 was issued on September 23, 1992 to provide examples of the correct way to complete the CMA Test Laboratory Conformance Statement for engine tests scheduled and registered under the CMA Code of Practice. The purpose of this bulletin is to update and expand the examples provided in RSI Bulletin #92-009 to conform with the revised CMA Test Laboratory Conformance Statement issued by the CMA on March 1, 1994. This bulletin is for clarification purposes only and does not introduce any changes with regard to the correct completion of the CMA Test Laboratory Conformance Statement.

These are broad examples of laboratory conformance and actual cases may be different.

#### DESCRIPTION OF TEST LABORATORY CONFORMANCE STATEMENT

Once a registered test is started, a Conformance Statement <u>must</u> be submitted to the CMA Monitoring Agency upon completion or early termination of the test. The Test Laboratory Conformance Statement contains three sections consisting of <u>Declarations</u>, <u>Conclusions</u> and <u>Comments</u>.

<u>DECLARATIONS</u>: The declarations are divided into three parts requiring YES or NO responses.

 The first section confirms conformance to the CMA Product Approval Code of Practice.

Registration Facsimile: (210) 341-3891 Office Facsimile: (210) 341-4038

- The second section confirms that the test was conducted in accord to the respective test procedure. If the test did not conform, then the laboratory must complete the second part that asks if the deviations were beyond the control of the test lab.
- 3. This section notes if the test is a "special case", a category created by ASTM that acknowledges certain conditions occur that render the test results uninterpretable even when the test is conducted in accord to the procedure. These conditions are described in the ASTM TMC information letters. As of this date, IID crankcase pressure, IIIE blowby and wear, and T8 soot have provisions for "special case."

CONCLUSIONS: "CONCLUSIONS" are generally based upon the respective declarations of the conformance statement and address the use of Multiple Test Acceptance Criteria (MTAC). "CONCLUSIONS" for the 1G2 are declared NA because the use of MTAC is not required for this test.

COMMENTS: If a lab checks any YES or NO statements that contain an asterisk (\*), then supporting statements <u>must</u> be documented under "COMMENTS." In some cases comments are required even if a declaration contains no asterisk. i.e., 1G2 test terminated after an inspection.

Laboratories may elect to write comments when not specifically required.

#### EXAMPLES OF TEST LABORATORY CONFORMANCE STATEMENTS

The following examples explain the use of the test laboratory conformance statements and the <u>suggested</u> Declarations, Conclusions and Comments. Each example has a corresponding sample of a completed conformance statement form.

#### EXAMPLES FOR ALL TESTS EXCEPT 1G2

VALID TESTS, USE MTAC

Example 1 illustrates a valid test meeting all requirements.

INVALID TESTS1, NO MTAC

Example 2

illustrates a test which does not meet the requirements of the CMA Code of Practice, i.e., improper stand selection (Appendix D of the CMA Code of Practice), test started prior to registration (Appendix B), etc. In this case, declaration # 1 is NO with a subsequent conclusion that the results should not be used for MTAC.

Example 3A,B,C illustrate tests which do not meet the requirements of the respective test procedure.

Declaration # 2 is NO.

In example 3A, the test is invalid because of lab problems, and the second part of declaration #2 is answered NO.

In example 3B, the test is invalid, but the cause was beyond the control of the test laboratory, i.e., an oil related problem. The second part of declaration # 2 is answered YES.

In example 3C, the test is invalid because the test sponsor requested early termination of the test. The second part of declaration # 2 is answered YES. NOTE: As described in the June 26, 1995 CMA Code of Practice Interpretation (Tab 4 of the CMA Code of Practice), the provision for early termination of a test is to give the test sponsor flexibility, not to discard a predicted poor result from MTAC.

In all cases, the conclusion(s) will indicate that the results should not be used for MTAC. As defined by the ASTM, tests that do not complete the total number of test hours defined by the respective test procedure are declared invalid.

A comment is required whenever a response with an asterisk is selected.

VALID TESTS BUT SPECIAL CASE, NO MTAC

Example 4

Occasionally, a test will run in accord to the test procedure, but the test results cannot be interpreted. This is referred to as a "special case", and declaration number 3 is checked YES. The conclusion will indicate that the results should not be used for MTAC.

#### EXAMPLES FOR 1G2

#### VALID 1G2 TESTS

Example 5

This example is a valid, full-term (480 test hours) 1G2 test. Since MTAC is not used for the 1G2 test, the conclusion of the Test Laboratory Conformance Statement is not applicable. Insert "NA" within the parentheses used for checking the conclusions.

Example 6 -

A sponsor may decide not to continue with a test after an intermediate inspection. This is permitted within the test procedure and does not invalidate the test. The test laboratory conformance statement is completed in the same manner as example 5 (full term 1G2), but the comment section must indicate that the test was terminated after inspection per sponsor request and the test hours must be noted.

#### INVALID 1G2 TESTS

Discussion -

The declarations and supporting comments are handled in the same manner as the other tests. Conclusions remain as "NA".

Test L	Laboratory:	
rest ap	oonsor.	
Formula	ation/Stand Code:	
Test Star	umber: art Date and Time (Include time zone):	
DECLAR	RATIONS	
No. 1	All requirements of the CMA Code of Practice responsible were met in the conduct of this test. Ye	for which the test laboratory is es_X_ No*
No. 2	The laboratory ran this test for the full duration followand all operational validity requirements of the late procedure (ASTM or other), including all updates issue for the test, were met.  Yes_X_ No*	est version of the applicable test
	If the response to this Declaration is "No", doe deviations from operational validity requirements that of the laboratory?  Yes* No	s the test engineer consider the t occurred to be beyond the control
No. 3	A deviation occurred for one of the test parameter responsible for the test as being a special case. Yes_ applies only to specific deviations identified in the A	* No X (This currently
CHECK	THE APPROPRIATE CONCLUSION	
	perational review of this test indicates that the results succeptance Criteria calculations.	hould be included in Multiple Test
	Operational review of this test indicates that the results est Acceptance Criteria calculations.	should not be included in Multiple
NOTE:	Supporting comments are required for all responses	identified with an asterisk.
Commer	ents:	
Comme		
	(Signature)	(Date)
		,,
	Typed Name)	(Title)

EXAMPLE 1....VALID TEST MEETING ALL REQUIREMENTS (Does not include 1G2)

Test L	Test Laboratory:		
Test Sponsor:			
Test Nu	ation/Stand Code:		
	rt Date and Time (Include time zone):		
	RATIONS		
No. 1	All requirements of the CMA Code of Practice for which the test laboratory is responsible were met in the conduct of this test. Yes No_X_*		
No. 2	The laboratory ran this test for the full duration following all procedural requirements; and all operational validity requirements of the latest version of the applicable test procedure (ASTM or other), including all updates issued by the organization responsible for the test, were met.  Yes X No **		
	If the response to this Declaration is "No", does the test engineer consider the deviations from operational validity requirements that occurred to be beyond the control of the laboratory?  Yes* No		
No. 3	A deviation occurred for one of the test parameters identified by the organization responsible for the test as being a special case. Yes* No_X_ (This currently applies only to specific deviations identified in the ASTM Information Letter System.)		
CHECK THE APPROPRIATE CONCLUSION			
	perational review of this test indicates that the results should be included in Multiple Test cceptance Criteria calculations.		
	Operational review of this test indicates that the results should not be included in Multiple est Acceptance Criteria calculations.		
NOTE:	Supporting comments are required for all responses identified with an asterisk.		
Comme	nts: A COMMENT DESCRIBING THE DEVIATION IS REQUIRED		
	(Signature) (Date)		
	Typed Name) (Title)		

EXAMPLE 2....FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH THE CODE OF PRACTICE

Test L	aboratory:
Test Sp	onsor:
Formula Tort No	ation/Stand Code:
Test Nu	rt Date and Time (Include time zone):
1 651 514	it Date and Time (include time zone).
DECLAR	RATIONS
No. 1	All requirements of the CMA Code of Practice for which the test laboratory is responsible were met in the conduct of this test. Yes_X_ No*
No. 2	The laboratory ran this test for the full duration following all procedural requirements; and all operational validity requirements of the latest version of the applicable test procedure (ASTM or other), including all updates issued by the organization responsible for the test, were met.  Yes No _X _*
	If the response to this Declaration is "No", does the test engineer consider the deviations from operational validity requirements that occurred to be beyond the control of the laboratory?  Yes* No _X
No. 3	A deviation occurred for one of the test parameters identified by the organization responsible for the test as being a special case. Yes * No X (This currently applies only to specific deviations identified in the ASTM Information Letter System.)
CHECK	THE APPROPRIATE CONCLUSION
	perational review of this test indicates that the results should be included in Multiple Test cceptance Criteria calculations.
	Operational review of this test indicates that the results should not be included in Multiple est Acceptance Criteria calculations.
NOTE:	Supporting comments are required for all responses identified with an asterisk.
Comme	nts: A COMMENT DESCRIBING THE DEVIATION IS REQUIRED
	(Signature) (Date)
	Typed Name) (Title)

EXAMPLE 3A..FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH THE TEST PROCEDURE, NOT BEYOND THE CONTROL OF THE LAB.

Test Laboratory: Test Sponsor: Formulation/Stand Code: Test Number: Test Start Date and Time (Include time zone):		
DECLAR	RATIONS	
No. 1	All requirements of the CMA Code of Practice responsible were met in the conduct of this test. Ye	for which the test laboratory is es_X_ No*
No. 2	The laboratory ran this test for the full duration followand all operational validity requirements of the later procedure (ASTM or other), including all updates is sefur the test, were met.  YesNo_X_*	test version of the applicable test
	If the response to this Declaration is "No", doe deviations from operational validity requirements that of the laboratory?  Yes_X_* No	
No. 3	A deviation occurred for one of the test parameter responsible for the test as being a special case. Yes_ applies only to specific deviations identified in the A	* No_X_ (This currently
CHECK	THE APPROPRIATE CONCLUSION	
	Operational review of this test indicates that the results succeptance Criteria calculations.	hould be included in Multiple Test
	Operational review of this test indicates that the results est Acceptance Criteria calculations.	should not be included in Multiple
NOTE:	Supporting comments are required for all responses	identified with an asterisk.
Commer	ents: A COMMENT DESCRIBING THE I	DEVIATION IS REQUIRED
	(Signature)	(Date)
	Typed Name)	(Title)

EXAMPLE 3B..FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH THE TEST PROCEDURE, BEYOND THE CONTROL OF THE LAB.

Test L	Test Laboratory:		
Test Sp	Test Sponsor:		
Test Nu	ation/stand Code.	_	
	umber:urt Date and Time (Include time zone):	_	
	RATIONS	_	
No. 1	All requirements of the CMA Code of Practice for which the test laboratory responsible were met in the conduct of this test. Yes_X_ No*	is	
No. 2	The laboratory ran this test for the full duration following all procedural requirement and all operational validity requirements of the latest version of the applicable to procedure (ASTM or other), including all updates issued by the organization responsible for the test, were met.  Yes No _X _*	est	
	If the response to this Declaration is "No", does the test engineer consider the deviations from operational validity requirements that occurred to be beyond the control of the laboratory?  Yes X * No		
No. 3	A deviation occurred for one of the test parameters identified by the organization responsible for the test as being a special case. Yes * No X (This current applies only to specific deviations identified in the ASTM Information Letter System.	tly	
CHECK	THE APPROPRIATE CONCLUSION		
	perational review of this test indicates that the results should be included in Multiple Telecoptance Criteria calculations.	st	
	Operational review of this test indicates that the results should not be included in Multip est Acceptance Criteria calculations.	le	
NOTE:	Supporting comments are required for all responses identified with an asterisk.		
Comments: Terminated at sponsor request @ "XX" test hours		-	
		_	
	(Signature) (Date)		
	Typed Name) (Title)		

EXAMPLE 3C..FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH THE TEST PROCEDURE, BEYOND THE CONTROL OF THE LAB.

Test L	Laboratory:		
rest spe	Test Sponsor:		
rormuia	ation/stand Code:		
Test Nu	fumber: art Date and Time (Include time zone):		
i est stai	art Date and Time (include time zone):		
DECLAF	RATIONS		
No. 1	All requirements of the CMA Code of Practice for responsible were met in the conduct of this test. Yes		
No. 2	The laboratory ran this test for the full duration follow and all operational validity requirements of the later procedure (ASTM or other), including all updates issue for the test, were met.  Yes X No **	st version of the applicable test	
	If the response to this Declaration is "No", does deviations from operational validity requirements that of the laboratory?  Yes* No		
No. 3	A deviation occurred for one of the test parameters responsible for the test as being a special case. Yes applies only to specific deviations identified in the AS	X_* No (This currently	
CHECK THE APPROPRIATE CONCLUSION			
	Operational review of this test indicates that the results show acceptance Criteria calculations.	ould be included in Multiple Test	
	Operational review of this test indicates that the results sleet Acceptance Criteria calculations.	hould not be included in Multiple	
NOTE: Supporting comments are required for all responses identified with an asterisk.			
Comme	ents: A COMMENT DESCRIBING THE DE	EVIATION IS REQUIRED	
	(Signature)	(Date)	
	(Typed Name)	(Title)	

EXAMPLE 4...ASTM SPECIAL CASE

Test L	Laboratory:	
rest Sp	ponsor:	
Formula	ation/stand Code:	
	lumber:art Date and Time (Include time zone):	
Test Sta	art Date and Time (Include time zone):	
DECLAR	RATIONS	
No. 1	All requirements of the CMA Code of Practice for responsible were met in the conduct of this test. Yes	
No. 2	The laboratory ran this test for the full duration follow and all operational validity requirements of the late procedure (ASTM or other), including all updates issue for the test, were met.  Yes X_ No *	st version of the applicable test
	If the response to this Declaration is "No", does deviations from operational validity requirements that of the laboratory?  Yes* No	the test engineer consider the occurred to be beyond the control
No. 3	A deviation occurred for one of the test parameter responsible for the test as being a special case. Yes_applies only to specific deviations identified in the AS	* No X (This currently
CHECK	THE APPROPRIATE CONCLUSION	
	Operational review of this test indicates that the results shacceptance Criteria calculations.	ould be included in Multiple Test
	Operational review of this test indicates that the results so lest Acceptance Criteria calculations.	hould not be included in Multiple
NOTE:	Supporting comments are required for all responses in	lentified with an asterisk.
Comme	ents:	
		_
	(Signature)	(Date)
	(Typed Name)	(Title)

Test L	Test Laboratory:		
rest spe	Test Sponsor:		
Test Nu	nton/stand Code;		
	t Date and Time (Include time zone):		
	MATIONS		
No. 1	All requirements of the CMA Code of Practice for which the test laboratory is responsible were met in the conduct of this test. Yes_X_ No*		
No. 2	The laboratory ran this test for the full duration following all procedural requirements; and all operational validity requirements of the latest version of the applicable test procedure (ASTM or other), including all updates issued by the organization responsible for the test, were met.  Yes_X_ No*		
	If the response to this Declaration is "No", does the test engineer consider the deviations from operational validity requirements that occurred to be beyond the control of the laboratory?  Yes* No		
No. 3	A deviation occurred for one of the test parameters identified by the organization responsible for the test as being a special case. Yes * No X (This currently applies only to specific deviations identified in the ASTM Information Letter System.)		
CHECK THE APPROPRIATE CONCLUSION			
(NA) Operational review of this test indicates that the results should be included in Multiple Test Acceptance Criteria calculations.			
(NA) *Operational review of this test indicates that the results should not be included in Multiple Test Acceptance Criteria calculations.			
NOTE:	Supporting comments are required for all responses identified with an asterisk.		
Commer	TERMINATED AFTER INSPECTION AT XXX HOURS PER SPONSOR REQUEST		
	(Signature) (Date)		
EXAMPLE 6VALID TEST MEETING ALL REQUIREMENTS 1G2 TERMINATED DUE TO INTERMEDIATE INSPECTION RESULTS			